Mental health providers throughout Washington are facing worker shortages that have put a strain on much needed services. The shortages have reached crisis levels in parts of King County where the high cost of living has exacerbated the problem. Some providers in Seattle estimate turnover rates as high as 30 percent. The Business Journal recently convened leaders in the mental health field to discuss these challenges. Panelists included Sound President and CEO Patrick Evans, Compass Health President and CEO Tom Sebastian, Washington Rep. Tina Orwall, and Leo Flor, the director of King County’s department of community and human services. Ryan Lambert, Puget Sound Business Journal editor-in-chief, moderated the discussion.

Lambert: To get started, how can we help readers understand the crisis our community faces around recruitment and retention of skilled behavioral health workers?

Sebastian: Since the Affordable Care Act was passed, hundreds of thousands of community members that formerly had no access to coverage for mental health and substance use disorder treatments, now not only have health coverage through Medicaid, but have a behavioral health benefit, meaning for both mental health and addiction treatment. This means the workforce needs to meet that demand.

Flor: Wherever we have what looks like underperformance of a behavioral health program that we fund, it correlates very strongly to workforce turnover or vacancies. So we have this system that we universally acknowledge is essential, that it is fundamental to our ability to make all other types of interventions in social services work. The thing that’s keeping it from performing is not that we haven’t figured out what works, it’s not technology, it’s not a lack of system, it is a lack of people who are supported to make careers in this field.
We are near, if not at, a crisis situation. The four bigger providers in King County recently showed a large number of vacant positions... that impacts who can get in and how fast, the quality of services, and our staff.

Lambert: Tina, could you talk about the specific ways the current workforce in behavioral health impacts some of the outcomes for clients.

Orwall: Absolutely. One, mental health impacts all of us. Personally, for our families, our neighbors. We have a crisis going on in our country on suicide; Washington has an especially high rate of race triple, and of course, veterans. Everything is about relationships, and when you have turnover in those beds, people don’t feel like they’re counted on someone to redevelop that relationship over and over again. It really impacts quality of care; it’s especially difficult in rural communities where they have the most trouble attracting and retaining.

I also hear from clinicians that they don’t always feel they have the skill set, and if you don’t have the skill set to work with someone who is suicidal, that’s going to be overwhelming. So a number of issues are going on. I know a talented young man for who just graduated from the University of Washington in psychology; he’s going to work for Facebook. So not only are we competing within our behavioral health community, we’re competing with other industries now.

Lambert: Tom, I see you shaking your head; you’ve encountered these issues; can you speak to them?

Sebastian: Absolutely. The turnover impact is a significant challenge, in terms of providing a high quality of care. And Rep. Orwall has it right, it’s important to equip providers with the skill set for working with individuals with complex and multiple needs, including mental health, substance use disorders, and medical needs, that are all happening at the same time and require a very high and complex level of care. The academic world needs to step up here.

Lambert: So who is working on those next steps? What steps are being taken to ensure these issues are being addressed?

Sebastian: It’s a constant interaction, with the UW, of course, and up north, we have a large dialogue going at Eastern Washington University. They’re working to figure out how to create specialized tracks, certifications for individuals to work specifically in this area of a behavioral health system. But it really is on us as the provider community, and our advocates, to lobby the academic community to catch up in that regard.

Orwall: We should look at statewide solutions; our universities offer great skill sets. We’re the home of DBT, Dialectical Behavioral Therapy, at the UW, for example. We need to figure out how to have these tracks; whether we tie them into our programs, or create these tracks; whether we tie them into our programs, or create these tracks.

Lambert: Are there models around the country that you are looking at that can be emulated here, or replicated in this region? Or is this region too unique?

Sebastian: There are pockets of success where alignment has begun, because we now recognize that behavioral health conditions are health conditions, just like any other health condition. Whether you call it parity or alignment, we’re able to compensate community behavioral health workers at the same level as their health care colleagues, we’re going to have challenges.

Evans: There’s stuff we can do statewide, but the nature of the work that we do and the way those funds come in, tied to federal, state and local tax dollars, adds to the burden. We are health care in our approach; if somebody needs to be seen, they should be able to walk in and in to see somebody. But that’s not always the case. Unless it’s an emergency crisis – that’s one thing as a system we do really, really well. In King County in particular.

Orwall: There are system solutions for some of these issues. We have the Washington State Health Professional Loan Repayment Program, in which three-quarters of the money is going to health care providers, and a quarter to behavioral health. But we need to link those things; provide loan forgiveness, but tie it to keeping people in public mental health for a certain number of years, right?

Lambert: To piggyback on that, what are some of the sticking points in the conversation around these reimbursement models?

Orwall: There’s always that stress of how much does the state shape, versus local control. There are so many solutions that our people are trying to look at. We need to work together and all come together to advocate for the same plan.

Flor: It’s a system that is first and foremost shaped by finance, rather than by care. It’s very payer-centric. The concept of integrated care is that we ought to provide care and treatment the way that people experience illness. We have yet to fully apply that same principle of centering on people to the way that we design our system.

Lambert: Sebastian: We have yet to recognize... and prioritize and honor the people who do this work, even as we affirm how important it is. So there’s both a prestige deficit and a funding deficit, yet at the same time we say, this is one of the most important systems, it’s foundational to our success in everything we do. But when we look at it as an industry, the funding sources remain constraining.
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makes the economic engine run in these geographic communities that we serve." That builds an instant bridge, because now you’re just interacting as colleagues. That provides a great opportunity to increase awareness of the work that we’re doing. That goes such a long way, because the private sector and the businesses want to help. It’s a very friendly community here. We must build those bridges so when we do have a need, usually from a philanthropic perspective, you have the relationship, and we’ve found the business community absolutely will step forward.

Lambert: Patrick, can you talk about the evolution of that relationship between Sound and the business community?

Evans: It is important for us to truly engage with the business community, to be at the same table. The other piece is that we are a business, and we have to operate like a business. I’ve learned a great deal through the Downtown Seattle Association. We’re very active with GSBA, Rotary, Tom and I are both in Vistage, a group that gets together with CEOs every month. Recently I was on a panel for the DSA, it was business folks talking about homelessness, but the root issue oftentimes is behavioral health issues.

Sound provides a lot of services downtown. A lot of our clients don’t come to an office, they’re on the streets, and our staff go and find them. But we have a lot of vacancies. That impacts how many people we can see on the street, who’s impacting these businesses, and guests to our community, and our neighborhoods. At one point we had 100 vacant positions, and those were not new positions. So my message is, “Help us with this. I get you’re frustrated – but we could do better work.”

Lambert: You talked about sending the workforce out to where your clients are, on the streets of Seattle. What comes to mind is substance abuse disorders, particularly the opioid crisis; how is that increasing demands on your workforce and impacting recruitment?

Flor: As noted, a lot of the most important work happens outside of the office. Folks who most need publicly funded behavioral health treatment are not able to bus in to a 30-minute appointment. So when we talk about user-centricity, when we talk about what’s evidence based and what’s effective, it is models that have interdisciplinary teams of people going out and finding folks where they are. When it works, people get excited about it and that is a tremendously valuable thing.

Orwell: The reality is there’s secondary trauma that clinicians face. So not only is it having the right skill set, but how do we support them through what is very challenging work. We’re trying to put the state level to see what investments we can make around training and support. There’s not a solution that recognizing the toll that this work really can take on individuals that do it often.

I worked in community mental health for 20 years, and you hear tragic stories. When people are homeless, especially women, a lot of trauma happens on the street, there’s a lot of sexual assault. It’s taken a toll on families; you talk to that brokenhearted parent who can’t find their son or daughter. You carry some of that pain yourself. We need to take care of people who care for folks with mental health issues.

Lambert: Absolutely. In terms of what legislators look at when they’re determining what funds get allocated, are there specific data points that you weigh more heavily?

Orwell: I don’t want to add to the data collection burden. We try to write good policy, we try to have good data, but at the end of the day, what moves a piece of legislation are the stories and the voices. One of the challenges we have is often we put so much money into involuntary treatment, and not that it’s not important, we want people to get the help they need, but how do we invest in the community services? There needs to be a better dialogue with our counties and local providers on how we have more stable ongoing funding. If you ask the average person about this, if they haven’t been impacted by mental health issues, they know someone who has.

Lambert: So I’ll throw this out there, just how do everyone weigh in. What is the message you think would best resonate with legislators about the current challenges and level of urgency that’s facing our communities right now?

Sebastian: How do we work together over the long term to systematically change the way community behavioral health operates and is funded. That includes all the things we’ve talked about here — loan forgiveness, better alignment with the rest of the health care system, which often serves the same group of people.

Evans: We are near, if not at, a crisis situation. The four bigger providers in King County recently showed a large number of vacant positions. We have to fill these. That impacts who can get in and how fast, the quality of services, and our staff. That impacts the secondary trauma that Tina was talking about. I’m all for capital dollars but the other piece is, if we could do a better job at the community level, we could prevent more people from needing to be hospitalized.

The other piece is, I don’t care if you go to jail, prison, the hospital for a day or a month, you’re coming back to the community. And for community-based providers like us, where do these folks come back to? When they step down from, say, Western State, they’re not going to just go home and everything’s fine. Services have to be provided, and it’s going to be a provider like Sound or Compass or Navos or Valley Cities. If we have vacancies, it becomes a challenge.

Flor: First, integration of physical and behavioral health is absolutely the right place for our system to go. Second, behavioral health conditions are not going away. We have every indication that there are more and more trauma and behavioral health issues in our community, in our world, than ever before. This is our opportunity to get this system right, because community based care is cheaper and more effective than jail, homelessness, or any other crisis response system. This is what prevention can look like.
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